

Wiki Feedback Worksheet

- For each wiki one student will be given a worksheet to fill out. The purpose of this worksheet is to foster critical thoughts and commentary on the wiki. The commentary is to be professional, but can be critical, such as "This section is confusing because... It needs to be re-written".
- The commentary can also point out things that might be missing, such as proper citations or headings. The commentary can also reflect items that you wish were in the wiki, but currently are not, or items that you would like to see expanded.
- The above are simply examples of commentary that we would like to see. However, feel free to add other types of commentary, as long as it is done in a professional manner.

Nuts and bolts:

Did the wiki contain the required elements: Significant new content, Citations to the scientific lit and research articles, Do the figures clearly explain the concepts, are citations properly included and referenced, Were there grammar or spelling errors?

Bigger picture:

What about the wiki was well done and what revisions are needed? What was well explained? What sections or topics were confusing or seemed incomplete? Are there interesting sections that could potentially be expanded? Are there related methods or research that should be mentioned?

Worksheet: This should take you about 20 to 30 minutes to complete, including reading the wiki. Use whatever space you need. [ask for the word file, if you do not have it]

Overall Format and structure:

Introduction and background material:

Methods and main body/concepts:

Relation to iGEM and future directions:

Figures, Figure legends, and citations:

WHEN FINISHED, save the file and e-mail it to dennis.mishler@gmail.com. This is due BEFORE 9:00 AM Friday. Instructor Mishler will then add the revisions to the wiki page and e-mail the worksheet to the author, who will revise the wiki in response to the critiques on this worksheet before the next class.